

# Structure and Approach for Year 1 Report

Avi Alpert, ACDEB Rapporteur

August 2021

### **Guiding Principles for Report Design**

- 1. Fulfill statutory requirements
- 2. Convey actionable, near-term recommendations to OMB
- 3. Inform OMB and the public as to how the committee has drawn its Year 1 findings and will develop Year 2 recommendations
- 4. Facilitate the drafting process recognizing the timeline and need for Committee consensus



### **Core Report Sections**

- Executive Summary Covers Background, Key Findings, Near- and Mid-Term recommendations as well as Year 2 Scope and Plan
- Vision for the NSDS
- NSDS Governance and Accountability
- NSDS Technical Infrastructure
- NSDS Role in Capacity Building
- Legislative and Regulatory Needs
- Addressing Data Needs Across Government Levels
- Year 2 Roadmap
- Appendices: Committee Charter, Membership, & Process;
  Subcommittee Structure and Decision Criteria;
  Meeting Notes Compendium; Meeting Presentations;
  Public Comment Summary

- Each section corresponds to a subcommittee's focus area
- They all will be structured the same:
  - Define the Scope
  - Initial Findings and Recommendations
  - Case studies (which illuminate the problems or desired benefits)
  - Pilot programs (which validate potential solutions)
    - Any concluded for year 1 report
    - What is being monitored in year 2

To facilitate the drafting and review process we can align sections to our subcommittee structure



# **Drafting and Compiling the Report**

- Subcommittees will provide the core content, in whatever form they are comfortable with, for their sections
  - Coordinating Committee will 'own' the Vision for the NSDS and Year 2 Roadmap
  - We have begun asking Subcommittees for their preference between compiling the initial content outline/draft or asking staff to use the current subcommittee minutes as the basis for an initial draft
  - Avi will use their content to draft the prose, obtain their sign-off and then circulate sections among the full committee
  - Committee members will provide feedback, Avi will synthesize feedback, and any competing ideas will be taken to the Committee for vote
- Avi will compile the appendices and draft the Executive Summary
  - We will begin by drafting initial findings describing how the ecosystem has changed since the Commission's report (most notably due to the passing of the Evidence Act)
- We will incorporate a mechanism for public comment into the Year 2 plan



# **Suggested Report Drafting Timeline**

- By September 3<sup>rd</sup>: First draft outlines provided to each subcommittee and coordinating committee
- September 7th to September 17th: Iterative review of the outline and initial report drafts
- September 20<sup>th</sup> to October 1<sup>st</sup>: Iterative development, review, and subcommittee consensus on draft sections
- October 4<sup>th</sup>:First report draft provided to full Committee for review
- October 22<sup>nd</sup>:Final consensus edits submitted by Committee
- October 29<sup>th</sup>:Year 1 Report completed

