

Governance, Transparency, and Accountability Focus Area

Co-Chairs, Julia Lane and Charles Cutshall, ACDEB Members

July 2022

Members: Otis Brown, Shawn Davis, Gregory Fortelny, Ted Kwartler, Brian Moyer, Kimberly Murnieks, Christina Yancey

- Review of ACDEB presentations
- Review of use cases
- Presentations of Governance subcommittee
- Recommendations of other ACDEB subcommittees
- Parallel activities with National AI Research Resource Task Force
- Potok/Hart report
- Multiple iterations and request for feedback



- Substantial expertise: Federal statistical, federal programmatic, state and local; use cases (health, labor market activity, education/workforce)
- Value/risk framework: Separately measure but jointly determine and quantify; Five Safes framework
- NSDS must innovate, be transparent, and engage with Agencies, Academia, Non-Technical Communities, and Public
- Government has multiple options for establishing infrastructures; evaluation is key



Recommendations

NSDS should be a legally recognized entity that:

- Coordinates with statistical agencies, Federal information management councils, state and local agencies, and communities of practice to establish best practices around implementing the Access and Confidentiality regulation;
- Serves as model for testing and implementing those best practices;
- Offers an administrative infrastructure that can support the provision of hardware, software, and training support to federal, state, and local government agencies, as well as academic and non-technical communities;
- Catalyzes innovation around risk-utility metrics, sensitivity levels, tiered access (including secure remote access environments and privacy preserving technologies) using a five safes framework, and risk assessments; and,
- Clearly communicates with the public on the risk-utility tradeoff and how determinations are made.

The America's DataHub Consortium should serve as the foundation for the NSDS.



Recommendations: Organizational Structure and Governance



