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Committee Meeting Topics
- NSDS Attributes and Functions
- Year 2 Roadmap
- Decide Between Potential NSDS Structural Models
- Focus Area reports on findings and recommendations for discussion and decision
- Focus Area reports on findings and recommendations for discussion and decision
- Focus Area reports on findings and recommendations for discussion and decision
- Resolve outstanding report issues
- In-person Committee close-out and final thoughts

Committee Work
- Select Focus Areas develop evaluation of potential structural models and distribute for feedback
- Focus Areas work on Year 2 priorities
- Focus Areas gather information, develop findings, weigh options, draft recommendations, prepare read-aheads and presentations for full Committee
- Focus Areas gather information, develop findings, weigh options, draft recommendations, prepare read-aheads and presentations for full Committee
- Focus Areas gather information, develop findings, weigh options, draft recommendations, prepare read-aheads and presentations for full Committee
- Finalize background materials, recommendations, findings, and supporting materials
- Review and comment on draft reports

Report Milestones
- January 7 – Initial Report Outline
- September 2 – First Full Draft Report
- September 16 – Final Draft Report
- October 14 – Final Report Delivered to OMB

*Full Committee Meeting*
Prioritizing Focus Area Efforts

Sources of Direction for Subcommittee Focus Areas

Year 1 Report

1. Legislation and Regulations
   - Evaluate potential legal and regulatory requirements for an NSDS
   - Identify specific areas where changes to legislative language would support the success of the NSDS

2. Governance
   - Identify administrative obstacles that can be resolved by "whole of government" view
   - Identify functional means for the NSDS to coordinate across federal, state, and local entities while facilitating and promoting research and advances

3. Technical Infrastructure
   - Develop recommendations on interoperability standards and technologies
   - Explore potential tools and methods for increasing provider and user capacity and capability
   - Identify specific functional and technological requirements for the NSDS
     - Explore current feasibility of privacy-preserving techniques

4. Data for Evidence Building
   - Suggest helpful OMB guidance that does not increase unfunded burdens on state and local data collectors
   - Identify best practices and model approaches to disseminate across federal, state, and local levels

5. Other Services
   - Develop recommendations to implement the data concierge service
   - Expand on the elements of a communication strategy

Ongoing Chair and Committee Guidance

Addressing OMB Response to Year 1 Report and ongoing discussions
Digging Into the Recommendation Development Approach

1. **Subcommittee Investigates**
   Investigating specific topics more deeply, documenting findings in those areas, and drafting actionable recommendations.

2. **Report Out to Full Committee**
   Report out findings and recommendations in the Committee’s public meetings.

3. **Committee Discussion**
   The full Committee will discuss the material presented by subcommittee’s.

4. **Committee Decision**
   The full Committee, in the meeting, will decide whether to issue the recommendation or request further investigation.

5. **Recommendations Released**
   Recommendations may be released by the Committee on a flow basis throughout the year to guide swift action and implementation.

6. The Committee may request specific areas for clarification before approval.
Reminder of Decision-making Process Principles

• Iterative discussion/feedback
• Raise objections/develop options
• Iterative discussion/feedback
• If still objections, decide on critical mass of consensus to move forward
• Use findings to document options and considerations
Inter-Meeting Period to Develop and Vet Recommendations

Subcommittee Investigates

1. **Subcommittee Investigates**
   Investigate specific topics more deeply, document findings in those areas, and draft actionable recommendations.

2. **Report Out to Full Committee**
   Report out findings and recommendations in the Committee’s public meetings.

- Subcommittees will use the two-month period between meetings to gather information, develop findings and recommendations, and obtain interim feedback from the Committee.

- Subcommittees, with staff facilitation, will draft findings and recommendations and solicit Committee feedback and objections.

- The feedback will allow the Committee to identify areas needing focused discussion in the full Committee meeting and separate out findings and recommendations that can be accepted via consent.

- The Coordinating Committee will assist with this process, as needed. This may include reviewing draft recommendations, soliciting targeted feedback from subcommittees, identifying options and suggesting decision-making criteria, and tracking agreed upon and outstanding items.

- The Chair will coordinate this process, monitor progress, and step in, as needed, to drive progress.
The Committee could take a tiered approach to accepting findings and recommendations:

- Recommendations that did not elicit objections during draft distributions are reported to the Committee and accepted by consent – *Committee members may raise objections; otherwise, they are accepted*.

- More difficult recommendations will be presented for facilitated discussion in the public meeting, where the Committee will work to develop them such that they contain no dealbreakers for members.

- The Coordinating Committee can assist with developing and presenting options, as needed, prior to the July meeting deadline for approval of recommendations.

- At that point, the Committee can vote on accepting or rejecting the recommendation, if necessary. Minority opinions, options, and considerations could be outlined in the Year 2 report.