

# Year 2 Roadmap

**Avi Alpert, ACDEB Rapporteur**

November 2021

# Initial Year 2 Timeline



## Committee Meeting Topics

- Nov - Dec**
  - NSDS Attributes and Functions
  - Year 2 Roadmap
- Jan - Feb**
  - Decide Between Potential NSDS Structural Models
- Mar - Apr**
  - Focus Area reports on findings and recommendations for discussion and decision
- May - Jun**
  - Focus Area reports on findings and recommendations for discussion and decision
- Jul - Aug**
  - Focus Area reports on findings and recommendations for discussion and decision
- Sep - Oct**
  - Resolve outstanding report issues
  - In-person Committee close-out and final thoughts

## Committee Work

- Nov - Dec**
  - Select Focus Areas develop evaluation of potential structural models and distribute for feedback
  - Focus Areas work on Year 2 priorities
- Jan - Feb**
  - Focus Areas gather information, develop findings, weigh options, draft recommendations, prepare read-aheads and presentations for full Committee
- Mar - Apr**
  - Focus Areas gather information, develop findings, weigh options, draft recommendations, prepare read-aheads and presentations for full Committee
- May - Jun**
  - Focus Areas gather information, develop findings, weigh options, draft recommendations, prepare read-aheads and presentations for full Committee
- Jul - Aug**
  - Finalize background materials, recommendations, findings, and supporting materials
- Sep - Oct**
  - Review and comment on draft reports

## Report Milestones

- *January 7 – Initial Report Outline*
- *September 2 – First Full Draft Report*
- *September 16 – Final Draft Report*
- **October 14 – Final Report Delivered to OMB**

 Full Committee Meeting

# Prioritizing Focus Area Efforts

## Sources of Direction for Subcommittee Focus Areas

1

### Year 1 Report

#### Legislation and Regulations

- Evaluate potential legal and regulatory requirements for an NSDS
- Identify specific areas where changes to legislative language would support the success of the NSDS

#### Governance

- Identify administrative obstacles that can be resolved by “whole of government” view
- Identify functional means for the NSDS to coordinate across federal, state, and local entities while facilitating and promoting research and advances

#### Technical Infrastructure

- Develop recommendations on interoperability standards and technologies
- Explore potential tools and methods for increasing provider and user capacity and capability
- Identify specific functional and technological requirements for the NSDS
- Explore current feasibility of privacy-preserving techniques

#### Data for Evidence Building

- Suggest helpful OMB guidance that does not increase unfunded burdens on state and local data collectors
- Identify best practices and model approaches to disseminate across federal, state, and local levels

#### Other Services

- Develop recommendations to implement the data concierge service
- Expand on the elements of a communication strategy


2

### Ongoing Chair and Committee Guidance

3

### Addressing OMB Response to Year 1 Report and ongoing discussions

# Digging Into the Recommendation Development Approach



## Reminder of Decision-making Process Principles

---

- Iterative discussion/feedback
- Raise objections/develop options
- Iterative discussion/feedback
- If still objections, decide on critical mass of consensus to move forward
- Use findings to document options and considerations

# Inter-Meeting Period to Develop and Vet Recommendations

## Subcommittee Investigates

①

### Subcommittee Investigates

Investigate specific topics more deeply, document findings in those areas, and draft actionable recommendations



②

### Report Out to Full Committee

Report out findings and recommendations in the Committee's public meetings

- Subcommittees will use the two-month period between meetings to gather information, develop findings and recommendations, and obtain interim feedback from the Committee.
- Subcommittees, with staff facilitation, will draft findings and recommendations and solicit Committee feedback and objections.
- The feedback will allow the Committee to identify areas needing focused discussion in the full Committee meeting and separate out findings and recommendations that can be accepted via consent.
- The Coordinating Committee will assist with this process, as needed. This may include reviewing draft recommendations, soliciting targeted feedback from subcommittees, identifying options and suggesting decision-making criteria, and tracking agreed upon and outstanding items.
- The Chair will coordinate this process, monitor progress, and step in, as needed, to drive progress.

# Suggested Tiered Discussion and Decision Approach

## Committee Discussion & Decision



- The Committee could take a tiered approach to accepting findings and recommendations:
  - Recommendations that did not elicit objections during draft distributions are reported to the Committee and accepted by consent – *Committee members may raise objections; otherwise, they are accepted.*
  - More difficult recommendations will be presented for facilitated discussion in the public meeting, where the Committee will work to develop them such that they contain no dealbreakers for members.
  - The Coordinating Committee can assist with developing and presenting options, as needed, prior to the July meeting deadline for approval of recommendations.
  - At that point, the Committee can vote on accepting or rejecting the recommendation, if necessary. Minority opinions, options, and considerations could be outlined in the Year 2 report.